

p -Laplacian Type Equations Involving Measures

T. Kilpeläinen*

Abstract

This is a survey on problems involving equations $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu$, where μ is a Radon measure and $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ verifies Leray-Lions type conditions. We shall discuss a potential theoretic approach when the measure is nonnegative. Existence and uniqueness, and different concepts of solutions are discussed for general signed measures.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J60, 31C45.

Keywords and Phrases: p -Laplacian, Quasilinear equations with measures, Nonlinear potential theory, Uniqueness.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we let Ω be an open set in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $1 < p < \infty$ a fixed number. We shall consider equations

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu, \quad (1.1)$$

where μ is a Radon measure. We suppose that the mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $(x, \xi) \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$, is measurable in x and continuous in ξ and that it verifies the structural conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi &\geq \lambda |\xi|^p, \quad |\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)| \leq \Lambda |\xi|^{p-1}, \quad \text{and} \\ (\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \zeta)) \cdot (\xi - \zeta) &> 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and all $\xi \neq \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^n$. A prime example of the operators is the p -Laplacian

$$-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u).$$

In Section 2 we discuss how nonlinear potential theory is related to equations like (1.1); it corresponds to nonnegative measures. Then in Section 3 we discuss the existence and uniqueness for (1.1) with general measures.

*Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Jyväskylä, PO Box 35, FIN-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland. E-mail: terok@math.jyu.fi

2. Potential theoretic approach

A continuous solution $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = 0$ is called $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic in Ω . An $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic function in Ω is a lower semicontinuous function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ that is not identically ∞ in any component of Ω and that obeys the following comparison property: for each open $D \subset\subset \Omega$ and each $h \in C(\bar{D})$, $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic in D , the inequality $u \geq h$ on ∂D implies $u \geq h$ in D .

For $k > 0$ and $s \in \mathbf{R}$, let

$$T_k(s) = \max(-k, \min(s, k))$$

be the truncation operator. Then we have

2.1. Theorem. [12, 26, 15] *If u is $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic in Ω , then*

$$T_k(u) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } k > 0.$$

This enables us to show that $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic functions have a “gradient”: Suppose that a function u that is finite a.e. has the property that $T_k(u) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all $k > 0$. Then we define the (weak) *gradient* of u as

$$\nabla u(x) = DT_k(u) \quad \text{if } |u(x)| < k.$$

Here $DT_k(u)$ is the distributional gradient of the Sobolev function $T_k(u) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then ∇u is well defined. Observe that if ∇u is locally integrable, then it is the distributional derivative of u . However, it may happen that u or ∇u fails to be locally integrable and so ∇u is not always distributional derivative, see [15], [8]; this is a real issue only for $p < 2 - 1/n$.

2.2. Theorem. [26, 12, 15, 10, 1] *Suppose that u is $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic in Ω .*

i) *If $1 < p < n$, then*

$$u \in \text{weak-}L_{\text{loc}}^{n(p-1)/(n-p)}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla u \in \text{weak-}L_{\text{loc}}^{n(p-1)/(n-1)}(\Omega).$$

ii) *If $p = n$, then u is locally in BMO and hence $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\Omega)$ for all $q > 0$; moreover*

$$\nabla u \in \text{weak-}L_{\text{loc}}^n(\Omega).$$

iii) *If $p > n$, then*

$$u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

and hence u is (Hölder) continuous.

If $p > n$ $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic functions are continuous and locally in $W^{1,p}$, moreover Radon measures then are in the dual of Sobolev space $W^{1,p}$. This makes the

cases $p > n$ very special and quite simple by the classical results of Leray and Lions. Henceforth we shall be concerned mainly with cases $1 < p \leq n$.

For $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic u we have by Theorem 2.2 that $|\nabla u|^{p-1}$ is locally integrable. So the distribution

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)(\varphi) := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

is well defined. $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic functions give rise to equation (1.1).

2.3. Theorem. [18] *If u is $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic in Ω , then $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)$ is represented by a nonnegative Radon measure μ .*

As to the existence we have:

2.4. Theorem. [18, 3] *Given a finite nonnegative Radon measure μ on bounded Ω , there is an $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic function u in Ω such that*

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu \\ T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ for all } k > 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

If Ω is undounded, then there also is an $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic solution to (1.1). This is rather easily seen if $1 < p < n$. The case $p \geq n$ requires a more careful analysis, see [9, 17].

In light of Theorem 2.2 we have that a solution u to (2.5) satisfies

$$u \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } q < \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}$$

(for $p > 2 - 1/n$). One naturally asks if such a solution is unique. Unfortunately this is not the case in general (except for $p > n$). To see this consider the function

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} - 1 & \text{if } 1 < p < n, \\ -\log |x| & \text{if } p = n. \end{cases}$$

In the p -Laplacian cases, u is then a p -superharmonic solution to (2.5) with $\mu=0$ in the punctured ball $\Omega = B(0,1) \setminus \{0\}$, but $v \equiv 0$ is another solution. This is rather artificial example but there are more severe ones. The question of uniqueness is a real issue to which we shall return in Section 3 below.

Regularity and estimates

In the classical potential theory the uniqueness can be solved by the aid of the Riesz decomposition theorem which states that superharmonic functions are sums of a potential and a harmonic function. No such decomposition is available in the nonlinear world. However, this lack can be compensated for to an extent by estimating in terms of the *Wolff potential* of the measure μ ,

$$\mathbf{W}_{\mu}^{1,p}(x_0, r) = \int_0^r \left(\frac{\mu(B(x_0, t))}{t^{n-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

2.6. Theorem. [19] *Let u be a nonnegative $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic function in $B(x_0, 3r)$ with $\mu = -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)$. Then*

$$c_1 \mathbf{W}_\mu^{1,p}(x_0, r) \leq u(x_0) \leq c_2 \mathbf{W}_\mu^{1,p}(x_0, 2r) + c_3 \inf_{B(x_0, r)} u,$$

where $c_j = c_j(n, p, \lambda, \Lambda) > 0$.

Theorem 2.6 was discovered by the author with Malý [18, 19] and later generalized for equations depending also on u by Malý and Ziemer [30]. Mikkonen [32] worked out the argument for weighted operators, this was later written up in a metric space setup in [2]. Recently a totally different proof that works for quasilinear subelliptic operators was found by Trudinger and Wang [39]. Labutin [22] gave a generalization for k -Hessian operators.

As the first major application of the potential estimate in 2.6 the author and Malý established the necessity of the Wiener test for the regularity for the Dirichlet problem: We say that $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -regular boundary point of bounded Ω if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} u(x) = \varphi(x_0)$$

whenever $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and u is $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic in Ω with $u - \varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then it turns out that regularity is independent of the particular operator and it depends only on its type p . More precisely, define the p -capacity of the set E as

$$\operatorname{cap}_p(E) := \inf \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |v|^p + |\nabla v|^p dx,$$

where the infimum is taken over all $v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $v \geq 1$ on an open neighborhood of E . Then

2.7. Theorem. [31, 19] *A boundary point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ is regular if and only if*

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\operatorname{cap}_p(\mathbb{C}\Omega \cap B(x_0, t))}{t^{n-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} = \infty.$$

Maz'ya [31] introduced the Wiener type test in 2.7 and proved its sufficiency. Gariepy and Ziemer [11] generalized the result by a different argument. Lindqvist and Martio [27] proved the necessity for $p > n - 1$; the general case was treated in [19]. For generalizations see [30, 32, 39, 22].

Theorem 2.6 can also be used to characterize singular solutions and (Hölder) continuity of $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic functions (see [17, 19, 21]). Recall that $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic functions are locally Hölder continuous: there is a constant $\varkappa \in (0, 1]$ depending only on the structure such that

$$\operatorname{osc}(u, B(x, r)) \leq c \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^\varkappa \operatorname{osc}(u, B(x, R)) \quad (2.8)$$

whenever u is $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic in $B(x, R)$, $r < R$ [37, 15]. For the p -Laplacian $\varkappa = 1$. We have

2.9. Theorem. [21] *Suppose that $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -superharmonic with $\mu = -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)$. If u is α -Hölder continuous, then*

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \leq c r^{n-p+\alpha(p-1)} \quad \text{whenever} \quad B(x, 2r) \subset \Omega.$$

The converse holds if $\alpha < \varkappa$.

The case $\alpha = \varkappa$ is quite different and it is not yet well understood.

Rakotoson and Ziemer [36] proved that the condition of Theorem 2.9 for the measure gives the Hölder continuity of the solution with some exponent. Lieberman [25] showed that for smooth operators like the p -Laplacian the condition $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq c r^{n-1+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ implies that the solution is in $C^{1,\beta}$.

Theorem 2.9 can be employed to establish the following removability result which is due to Carleson [5] in the Laplacian case.

2.10. Theorem. [21] *Suppose that $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic in $\Omega \setminus E$. If E is of $n - p + \alpha(p - 1)$ Hausdorff measure zero, then u is $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic in Ω .*

If E is of positive $n - p + \alpha(p - 1)$ Hausdorff measure and $\alpha < \varkappa$, then there is $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$ that is $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic in $\Omega \setminus E$ but not in the whole Ω .

3. General Radon measures

In this section we let μ be any signed Radon measure and consider equation (1.1). More specifically, we shall discuss the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = \mu \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$. Here the equation is understood in the distributional sense, i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \, d\mu, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

where we, of course, assume that $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)$ is locally integrable. The boundary values $u = 0$ are assumed in a weak Sobolev space sense. The existence of the solution to this problem is known:

3.2. Theorem. [3, 8, 9, 10] *For each Radon measure μ of finite total variation, there is a solution u to (3.1) such that*

i) *the truncations*

$$T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ for all } k > 0,$$

ii)

$$u \in \text{weak } -L^{n(p-1)/(n-p)}(\Omega) \text{ if } 1 < p < n \quad \text{and} \quad u \in \text{BMO} \text{ if } p = n,$$

iii)

$$\nabla u \in \text{weak } -L^{n(p-1)/(n-1)}(\Omega).$$

We next discuss the uniqueness of such a solution. There are examples of mappings $\mathcal{A}$ for which there is a solution u of equation $\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = 0$ such that u satisfies ii) and iii) of Theorem 3.2, but fails to be $\mathcal{A}$ -harmonic, see [33, 38, 16, 29]. See also the nonuniqueness example in an irregular domain after Theorem 2.4 above.

There are various approaches trying to treat the uniqueness problem by attaching additional attributes to the solution. To formulate these we need to recall a decomposition of measures. The p -capacity, defined in Section 2, is an outer measure. Hence the usual proof of the Lebesgue decomposition theorem gives us that any Radon measure μ can be decomposed as

$$\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_s,$$

where μ_0 and μ_s are Radon measures such that μ_0 is *absolutely continuous* with respect to the p -capacity (i.e., $\mu_0(E) = 0$ whenever $\text{cap}_p(E) = 0$) and μ_s is *singular* with respect to the p -capacity (i.e., there is a Borel set B such that $\text{cap}_p(B) = 0$ and $\mu_s(E \setminus B) = 0$ for all E).

Let u be a solution to (3.1) described in Theorem 3.2. We say that

- u is an *entropy solution* of (3.1) if u is Borel measurable and

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla T_k(u - \varphi) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} T_k(u - \varphi) d\mu$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $k > 0$.

- u is a *renormalized solution* of (3.1) if for all $h \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ such that h' has compact support we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u h'(u) \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot h(u) \nabla \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} h(u) \varphi d\mu_0 + h(\infty) \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu_s^+ - h(-\infty) \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu_s^- \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\varphi \in W^{1,r}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $r > n$ is such that $h(u)\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$; here

$$h(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t), \quad h(-\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} h(t),$$

and μ_s^+ and μ_s^- are the positive and negative parts of the singular measure μ_s .

Observe that we assume here that u satisfies equation (3.1) in the distributional sense. The entropy condition in this context was first used in [1]; the renormalized solution was introduced by Lions and Murat [28] and in the refined form in [7, 8]. The artificial function we had as a counterexample for the uniqueness (after Theorem 2.4) is not entropy nor renormalized solution. Existence is known; most

existence proofs follow a similar idea to that in [3]. The uniqueness can be established for certain measures since one can use truncated solutions as test functions.

3.3. Theorem. [4, 20, 8, 40] *Suppose that μ is a finite Radon measure. Then there is a renormalized and an entropy solution of (3.1). Moreover such a solution is unique if μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the p -capacity.*

The uniqueness with $\mu \in L^1$ was proved in [1] and [28] see also [33], [34]. For measures absolutely continuous wrt p -capacity, the uniqueness is established e.g. in [4, 20, 8, 40].

A renormalized solution is always an entropy solution, whence the concepts coincide at least if μ is absolutely continuous wrt p -capacity; see [8, 6].

In case of a general measure the uniqueness of renormalized solution appears to be an open problem. There are some partial results: Assume that the following strong monotoneity assumption holds. For all $0 \neq \xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^n$

$$(\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \eta)) \cdot (\xi - \eta) \geq \begin{cases} \beta |\xi - \eta|^p & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ \beta \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{(|\xi| + |\eta|)^{2-p}} & \text{if } p < 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Assume also the Hölder continuity:

$$|\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \eta)| \leq \begin{cases} \gamma (b(x) + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi - \eta|^2 & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ \gamma |\xi - \eta|^{p-1} & \text{if } p < 2, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where $b \in L^p$ is nonnegative. For instance, the p -Laplacian satisfies these assumptions. Then we have:

3.6. Theorem. [7, 8, 14] *Suppose the additional assumptions (3.4) and (3.5) hold. If u and v are two renormalized solutions of (3.1) with measure μ such that either $\nabla u - \nabla v \in L^p(\Omega)$ or $u - v$ is bounded from one side, then $u = v$.*

Rakotoson [35] proved that a continuous renormalized solution is unique in smooth domains; continuity requires the measure be rather special.

Borderline case $p = n$

We close this paper by considering the special case when $p = n$. Then the uniqueness can be reached:

3.7. Theorem. [41, 13, 10] *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ verifies additional assumption (3.4) with $p = n$ and that Ω is bounded and regular. For each Radon measure μ of finite total variation, there is a unique solution u to (3.1) such that*

i) *the truncations*

$$T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,n}(\Omega) \text{ for all } k > 0,$$

ii) *u is in BMO, and*

$$\nabla u \in \text{weak } -L^n(\Omega).$$

The regularity of Ω refers to the fact that the complement of Ω needs to be thick enough to exclude counterexamples we had in Section 2. Zhong [41] formulated a weak condition for this by requiring that the complement of Ω is *uniformly p -thick*, i.e., $\text{cap}_p(\mathbb{C}\Omega \cap B(x, r)) \approx r^{n-p}$ for all small $r > 0$; see [23, 15, 32] for more information about uniform thickness.

In fact stronger uniqueness properties than in Theorem 3.7 hold: by using a Hodge decomposition argument Greco, Iwaniec, and Sbordone [13] proved that for p -Laplacian the solution is unique in the *grand Sobolev space* $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$, i.e.

$$u \in \bigcap_{q < n} W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{n-\varepsilon} dx < \infty.$$

The regularity $\nabla u \in \text{weak-}L^n(\Omega)$ in Theorem 3.7 (proved in [10]) is better than $u \in W^{1,n}(\Omega)$.

By using a maximal function argument similar to that introduced by Lewis [24], Zhong [41] proved a stronger uniqueness result: the solution is unique in

$$u \in \bigcap_{q < n} W_0^{1,q}(\Omega).$$

Even stronger result appeared in [10]: there is a $\varepsilon > 0$ depending on the structural assumptions and on Ω such that any solution in $W_0^{1,n-\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ is actually the unique solution declared in Theorem 3.7. A similar result follows from the estimates in [13].

References

- [1] P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre, & J. L. Vázquez, *An L^1 -theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **22** (1995), 241–273.
- [2] J. Björn, P. MacManus & N. Shanmugalingam, *Fat sets and pointwise boundary estimates for p -harmonic functions in metric spaces*, J. Anal. Math. **85** (2001), 339–369.
- [3] L. Boccardo & T. Gallouët, *Nonlinear elliptic equations with right-hand side measures*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **17** (1992), 641–655.
- [4] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, & L. Orsina, *Existence and uniqueness of entropy solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations with measure data*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **13** (1996), 539–551.
- [5] L. Carleson, *Selected Problems on Exceptional Sets*, Van Nostrand, 1967.
- [6] G. Dal Maso & A. Malusa, *Some properties of reachable solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations with measure data*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **25** (1997), 375–396.
- [7] G. Dal Maso, F. Murat, L. Orsina, & A. Prignet, *Definition and existence of renormalized solutions of elliptic equations with general measure data*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **325** (1997), 481–486.

- [8] G. Dal Maso, F. Murat, L. Orsina, & A. Prignet, *Renormalized solutions of elliptic equations with general measure data*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **28** (1999), 741–808.
- [9] G. Dolzmann, N. Hungerbühler, & S. Müller, *Non-linear elliptic systems with measure-valued right hand side*, Math. Z. **226** (1997), 545–574.
- [10] G. Dolzmann, N. Hungerbühler, & S. Müller, *Uniqueness and maximal regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems of n -Laplace type with measure valued right hand side*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **520** (2000), 1–35.
- [11] R. Gariepy & W. P. Ziemer, *A regularity condition at the boundary for solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **67** (1977), 25–39.
- [12] S. Granlund, P. Lindqvist, & O. Martio, *Conformally invariant variational integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), 43–73.
- [13] L. Greco, T. Iwaniec, & C. Sbordone, *Inverting the p -harmonic operator*, Manuscripta Math. **92** (1997), 249–258.
- [14] O. Guibé, *Remarks on the uniqueness of comparable renormalized solutions of elliptic equations with measure data*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **180** (2002), 441–449.
- [15] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, & O. Martio, *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [16] T. Kilpeläinen, *Nonlinear potential theory and PDEs*, Potential Analysis **3** (1994), 107–118.
- [17] T. Kilpeläinen, *Singular solutions to p -Laplacian type equations*, Ark. Mat. **37** (1999), 275–289.
- [18] T. Kilpeläinen & J. Malý, *Degenerate elliptic equations with measure data and nonlinear potentials*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Science, Ser. IV **19** (1992), 591–613.
- [19] T. Kilpeläinen & J. Malý, *The Wiener test and potential estimates for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Acta Math. **172** (1994), 137–161.
- [20] T. Kilpeläinen & X. Xu, *On the uniqueness problem for quasilinear elliptic equations involving measures*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. **12** (1996), 461–475.
- [21] T. Kilpeläinen & X. Zhong, *Removable sets for continuous solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 1681–1688.
- [22] D. Labutin, *Potential estimates for a class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. **111** (2002), 1–49.
- [23] J. L. Lewis, *Uniformly fat sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **308** (1988), 177–196.
- [24] J. L. Lewis, *On very weak solutions of certain elliptic systems*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **18** (1993), 1515–1537.
- [25] G. M. Lieberman, *Sharp forms of estimates for subsolutions and supersolutions of quasilinear elliptic equations involving measures*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **18** (1993), 1191–1212.
- [26] P. Lindqvist, *On the definition and properties of p -superharmonic functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **365** (1986), 67–79.

- [27] P. Lindqvist & O. Martio, *Two theorems of N. Wiener for solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations*, Acta Math. **155** (1985), 153–171.
- [28] P.L. Lions & F. Murat, *Solutions renormalisées d'équations elliptiques non linéaires*, (to appear).
- [29] J. Malý, *Examples of weak minimizers with continuous singularities*, Exposition. Math. **13** (1995), 446–454.
- [30] J. Malý & W. P. Ziemer, *Fine Regularity of Solutions of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 51, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [31] V. G. Maz'ya, *On the continuity at a boundary point of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations (English translation)*, Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math. **3** (1976), 225–242, Original in *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **25** (1970), 42–55 (in Russian).
- [32] P. Mikkonen, *On the Wolff potential and quasilinear elliptic equations involving measures*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I. Math. Dissertationes **104** (1996), 1–71.
- [33] A. Prignet, *Remarks on existence and uniqueness of solutions of elliptic problems with right-hand side measures*, Rend. Mat. Appl. **15** (1995), 321–337.
- [34] J.-M. Rakotoson, *Uniqueness of renormalized solutions in a T -set for the L^1 -data problem and the link between various formulations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), 685–702.
- [35] J.-M. Rakotoson, *Properties of solutions of quasilinear equations in a T -set when the datum is a Radon measure*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46** (1997), 247–297.
- [36] J.-M. Rakotoson & W. P. Ziemer, *Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with general structure*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **319** (1990), 747–764.
- [37] J. Serrin, *Local behavior of solutions to quasi-linear equations*, Acta Math. **111** (1964), 247–302.
- [38] J. Serrin, *Pathological solutions of elliptic differential equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) **18** (1964), 385–387.
- [39] N. S. Trudinger & X.J. Wang, *On the Weak continuity of elliptic operators and applications to potential theory*, Amer. J. Math. **124** (2002), 369–410.
- [40] N. S. Trudinger & X.J. Wang, *Dirichlet problems for quasilinear elliptic equations with measure data*, preprint.
- [41] X. Zhong, *On nonhomogeneous quasilinear elliptic equations*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. **117** (1998).